

DPD-7350-60

30 September 1960

Eastman Kodak Company
Rochester, New York

Attention: [REDACTED]

Gentlemen:

DOCUMENT NO. 121
NO CHANGE IN CLASS. ☐
☐ DECLASSIFIED
CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS SC
NEXT REVIEW DATE: 07/11
AUTH: HR 70-2
DATE: 07/11 REVIEWER: 010956

Reference is made to Contract No. PO-660 which is being funded-out in order that the contract will expire at an early date.

In the administration of this contract, funds allocated during the periods ending 30 June 1957 and 30 June 1958 were used in payment for work performed within these respective periods and all funds unexpended at the expiration of these periods were not available for further payments. This procedure resulted in \$108,373.93 being lost under the contract for payment purposes by reason of lapsed appropriations.

It is requested therefore that your records of Project CHA funds available for expenditure or obligation under Contract No. PO-660 be reduced by \$108,373.93.

Upon expenditure of the remaining funds for Project CHA under Contract No. PO-660, all further charges for Project CHA should be made against Contract No. PO-1200. This same procedure should be followed upon expenditure of all funds for Projects C and A under Contract No. PO-660.

Contract No. PO-1200 will be administered strictly on a fixed year basis, i.e., work and services performed within a fiscal year will be charged to funds allotted to that fiscal year, and such funds will not carry over for payment of work and services performed in a succeeding fiscal year. In this connection it is preferred that your final billing period for each fiscal year during the term of Contract No. PO-1200 close on 30 June instead of 13 July.

Very truly yours,

[REDACTED]
Contracting Officer

25X1A

cc: [REDACTED]

This was changed by [REDACTED]
File EK Co
PO 660
A & I

ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	INITIALS	DATE
1.	<i>Finance</i>	<i>WLD</i>	<i>10/1/60</i>
2.	<i>Contracts</i>		
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
RETURN TO SENDER			
ACTION		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION
COMMENT		FILE	SIGNATURE
REMARKS			
<p><i>Joe P: Believe I want this back. IN light of the decision from MR. FITAS (GGC) the expenditure and accounting treatment of any (all) funds in contract NO. P.O. 660 should be on "a first in first out basis". IN other words the accounting treatment should be the same year in etc.</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Q</i></p>			
FROM			
NAME AND ADDRESS		PHONE NO.	
25X1A			
		DATE	
		<i>10/1/60</i>	